

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,449	12/20/2001	Monica G. Marcu	213373	4132	
45733	7590 02/07/2006		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			LE, EMILY M		
	STETSON AVENUE	2 4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6780		1648	W-	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/936,449	MARCU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Emily Le	1648		

	Lxammer	Artonit				
	Emily Le	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	to autonoian foo			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS	Within the time period sectoral in t	77 Of IX 41.57(a).				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			Coadso			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, ,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).		•	,			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-17 and 22.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. Other:	L	\				
	\	2				
Miles I)					
Ere 7		Jeffrey S. Parkin, F Primary Examiner Art Unit 1648	Ph.D.			
	\	1				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims.

The proposed changes to claim 1 contain additional limitations that would require further consideration and/or search by the Office.

Applicant is reminded that further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a request continued examination (RCE) filed under 37 CFR 1.114 with a submission (i.e., an amendment that meets the reply of 37 CFR 1.111) and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e). MPEP 714.13.